IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.898 OF 2006

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.899 OF 2006

Prakash Vasantrao Deshmukh .. Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1525 OF 2006

State of Maharashtra .. Applicant
Versus
V.K.Dhakne .. Respondent

Mr.Ajit Kenjale for applicant (in 898 & 899)
Mrs.M.M.Deshmukh, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 29th August 2006.

P.C.

. These applications are for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the accused Nos. 1, 2 and 6 by the Sessions Judge, Raigad.

2. Learned Sessions Judge, while granting anticipatory bail to the accused has not specified the time during which the order would remain in force. Similarly, he has not imposed conditions, although, applicants before me are public servants and in his opinion, men of some status.

3. However, without going into the complaint made of the witnesses being threatened, as both the accused are now transferred out of subject area, interest of justice would be sub-served if these applications are disposed of by directing that the order granting anticipatory bail would remain in force, till the charge sheet is filed and will enure for the benefit of the applicants only till that time after which they shall have

to apply before the Regular Court for bail, which application shall be decided on its own merits and in accordance with law, uninfluenced by any observations made by the learned Sessions Judge. All contentions in that behalf are kept open.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)